Benchmark Journals

Journal of Educational Realities–JERA

pISSN 2536-6661, eISSN 2536-6653 Vol.14, No.1, April/June, 2026
A Publication of University of Uyo, Nigeria

# The Invisible Burden: A Theoretical Framework for Integrated Reproductive and Mental Health Care

**Abdulqudus Olansile IBRAHIM & Abimbola AFOLABI**
Department of Social Work,
Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria
olansile06@gmail.com, http://orcid.org//0009-0009-2115-9399
drafolabiabimbola@gmail.com, http://orcid.org//0000-0001-8313-9902

***Abstract***
*The contemporary clinical experiences in most instances, reduce reproductive health to biological outcomes and this approach disregards the influence of psychological, social, and political contexts that define them. When practitioners treat reproductive symptoms in isolation from mental health, they neglect the bidirectional relationship between hormonal health and psychological state. This reflects an invisible burden that practitioners must address in order to integrate holistic approach to reproductive health. However, to achieve an effective intervention, demands a synthesis of theories that moves beyond the individualistic, cost-benefit models of the past. The study therefore, utilized theoretical frameworks for integrated reproductive and mental health care. Four theories were reviewed based on relevance to the study and are: Ecological System theory, Feminism theory, Structural Functionalism Theory and Empowerment Theory. When a clinician treats health, especially reproductive health; conditions like postpartum depression may be treated without proper assessment of structural reproductive coercion or socioeconomic precarity, that inadvertently pathologize a logical response to systemic oppression. However, addressing this gap requires the adoption of formal structural assessment tools within the clinical intake process. These tools force the practitioner to document the environmental stressors such as economic instability, housing insecurity, and history of reproductive coercion that define the patient's health reality. When this is applied in Nigeria, it means integrating traditional community support systems and faith-based institutions into clinical practice to further inform reproductive health. The study concluded that the invisible burden, thus remains a product of systemic, not individual, failure. The transition to a social-justice-informed model is a commitment that has long being advocated for, necessary for a professional evolution. Therefore, social work in the twenty-first century requires the functionality of the social worker as both the healers and integration advocates and not just as an entity working independently.*

**Keyword**: Invisible Burden Reproductive Health, Mental Health Care, Theoretical Framework,

## Introduction

The problem associated with modern healthcare systems today is its frequent isolation of reproductive health from psychosocial wellness. This artificial bifurcation allows for a

fragmented system where patients address disparate services for complex concerns. Such separation imposes a profound psychological tax upon individuals. Those managing reproductive health challenges, such as infertility, perinatal mood disorders, or the emotional trauma of restrictive reproductive policies are prone to encounter a medical environment ill-equipped to address their holistic needs. The silence surrounding this emotional burden creates an "invisible burden" that further increases patients' distress, delays appropriate diagnosis, and encourages social exclusion (Howard, 2024). Holistically, true wellness requires an integrated, theory-driven social work approach that acknowledges how systemic power structures determine individual overall health. This is a fundamental radical departure from the traditional siloed paradigms.

The contemporary clinical experiences, in most instances, reduce reproductive events to biological outcomes and this approach disregards the influence of psychological, social, and political contexts that define them. Research confirms that reproductive challenges, such as infertility, significantly correlate with clinical depression, anxiety, and trauma (Bielawska-Batorowicz, 2023). When practitioners treat reproductive symptoms in isolation from mental health, they neglect the bidirectional relationship between hormonal health and psychological state (Howard, 2024). In such situations, patients may report feelings of dismissal, disbelief, and abandonment within systems designed through a narrow, often male-focused, approaches (Howard, 2024). This failure to integrate care is not merely an administrative oversight; it discusses a fundamental denial of the human rights essential to reproductive justice (Poehling, Downey, Singh, & Beasley, 2023).

However, to achieve an effective intervention, demands a synthesis of theories that moves beyond the individualistic, cost-benefit models of the past. To address the root causes of distress, social work must adopt a multi-dimensional perspective that integrate ecological systems, reproductive justice, and structural competency into practice. Ecological systems theory explains how environmental factors, ranging from economic instability to cultural taboos dictate an individual's ability to achieve mental and reproductive equilibrium (Rogers, Crockett, & Suess, 2019). This theory forces clinicians to analyze the "mesosystem," where interactions between family, workplace, and healthcare providers create either a network of support or a web of exclusion. When a client faces reproductive trauma, the stress may stem from the external environment rather than internal pathology. Practitioners who ignore these ecological pressures may fail to provide meaningful support (Rogers, Crockett, & Suess, 2019).

Furthermore, the integration of reproductive justice explains how systemic racism, economic inequality, and legislative restrictions dictate access to care (Poehling, Downey, Singh, & Beasley, 2023). Unlike traditional reproductive health models that focus mainly on service delivery, reproductive justice centers on the right to bodily autonomy and the power to parent in safe environments (Poehling, Downey, Singh, & Beasley, 2023). In another perspective, empowerment theory acts as the bridge, shifting the clinical dynamic from the practitioner as an authority to the practitioner as an advocate. This shift encourages client

autonomy, enables individuals to reclaim their narratives from institutions that historically surveilled or curtailed their reproductive choices (Alzate, 2009).

Structural competency serves as the final, critical component of this integrated framework. Developed to address the limitations of traditional cultural competency, structural competency pushes clinicians to develop an extra-clinical language of structure (Metzl & Hansen, 2014). This language allows social workers to articulate how policies, zoning, and labour markets contribute to group-differentiated vulnerabilities. When a client presents with postpartum anxiety, a structurally competent social worker does not merely assess symptoms; they evaluate the presence of reproductive coercion, the adequacy of parental leave, and the availability of social safety nets (Downey, 2018).

The invisible burden persists because current systems rely on practitioners who possess the technical skill to treat individuals but lack the conceptual tools to intervene in the environments that generate illness. This paper, proposes that integrated care is a matter of both clinical efficacy and social justice. It permits that, social workers can challenge and dismantle the barriers that leave so many individuals in the shadows of the health system. These require a dedication to perceive the client not as a collection of symptoms to manage, but as a person situated within a complex, often inequitable, social structure. Therefore, future clinical practice must prioritize the visibility of the invisible burden and transform it into a site of collective advocacy and sustained, holistic recovery process.

**Theoretical Foundations of Integrated Care**

The structural integrity of social work practice rests upon the foundation of theoretical frameworks. These paradigms translate abstract social phenomena into actionable clinical strategies. The intersection of reproductive and mental health requires a multidimensional perspective to care, as practitioners must synthesize these paradigms to address the invisible burden faced by clients. For this purpose, the following are the theories identified:

**Ecological Systems Theory: The Individual within the Environment**

Ecological Systems Theory (EST) was developed by Bronfenbrenner (1979), who posits that human development occurs within a nested series of environment. This perspective views individuals as inseparable from their surroundings. These environments are considered into: micro, meso, exo, and macro systems that influence the individual (EBSCO Research Starters, 2022). Therefore, with this examination, reproductive and mental health issues do not originate in a vacuum; rather, they reflect the complex interplay between biological component and environmental stressors (Rogers, Crockett, & Suess, 2019). When social workers assess a client, they must look beyond individual pathology to the systems of support or exclusion surrounding them.

The microsystem represents the immediate environments, such as family and partner relationships. Dysfunctional dynamics within this sphere often exacerbate reproductive

trauma, as noted by studies on domestic coercion (WHO, 2026). The mesosystem explains the connections between immediate settings, where a client's experience of infertility depends heavily on how workplace and healthcare providers interact with their personal needs (EBSCO, 2022). However, research indicates that health literacy interventions grounded in this framework are more effective because they address the multiple levels of influence on an individual (Dickens, Suarez-Balcazar, Allen-Meares, & Brazil, 2025). Furthermore, the exosystem comprises of the community resources and local policies and how they indirectly dictate access to care, which further creates barriers for vulnerable populations (Tudge, Merçon-Vargas, & Payir, 2022).

The macrosystem, representing cultural values and national policy, exerts the most profound influence on reproductive wellbeing. Societal blueprints for health often reflect historical biases, which dictate individual access to reproductive autonomy (Nyinawagaba Beatrice, 2024). In addition, recent study emphasizes the importance of the chronosystem, which accounts for temporal shifts and transitions over an individual's lifetime, including unpredicted life events like medical emergencies (Guy-Evans, 2020). Therefore, the interplay between these levels is critical; for instance, the lack of economic support at the exosystem level correlates directly with elevated stress hormones in reproductive-age adults (Chrisler, & Sagrestano, 2020). Practitioners who map these connections can effectively advocate for changes in the environment rather than demanding individual adaptation.

In this sense, an integrated care requires moving beyond the individualistic view that dominated early psychological models. Research shows that improvements to the child's and adult's environment are consistently linked to better mental health outcomes (Osher, Cantor, Berg, Steyer, & Rose, 2020). Through incorporation of the concept of "virtual microsystems" to account for modern online health interactions (White, & Zarling, 2026), social workers can better address the digital barriers to care. Hence, EST provides the model to identify the environmental pressures that necessitate systemic change, which ensures that the burden of illness is not placed solely on the individual.

**Feminist Theory: The Reproductive Justice Imperative**

The reproductive justice imperative in the argument of Feminist theory deconstructs power dynamics related to bodily autonomy. The reproductive justice framework (Morison, 2021), critiques the medical system for its historical exclusion of marginalized populations (Ross & Solinger, 2017). This theory rejects the traditional focus on individual choice alone, arguing that reproductive health exists only when social, political, and economic conditions allow for it. However, Gentsch and Kuehn (2022) documented that the lack of control over one's body is associated with long-term mental health challenges, including anxiety, depression, and trauma.

Intersectional feminism, as defined by Carastathis (2014), is a critical component of this theory. As stated, "Intersectionality has become the predominant way of conceptualizing the relation between systems of oppression which construct our multiple identities and our social

locations in hierarchies of power and privilege." This reveals how inequality operates across race, class, and gender, creating a "double disadvantage" for women of colour. Empowerment of women, therefore, is not just a clinical goal but a prerequisite for health equity. Studies show that empowerment is compromised in communities with strong patriarchal influence (Chaudhuri, & Morash, 2019), reinforcing the need for social work to advocate for political and economic independence alongside clinical support (Couva, Talias, Christou, & Soteriades, 2024). Furthermore, the link between poverty and poor reproductive health outcomes is well-documented, with research noting thatroughly half of the world's poor are women, who experience disproportionate barriers to reproductive justice (Jacobson, 2018; Kulczycki, 2017).

However, an integrative practice requires the acknowledgment that mental health suffers because of the erosion of bodily autonomy. When policies or medical practices coerce reproductive decisions, the resulting psychological distress functions as a logical response to systemic violence (Poehling, Downey, Singh, & Beasley, 2023). Feminist theorists argue that sexual autonomy and freedom from gendered constraints are essential for human dignity (Nyinawagaba Beatrice, 2024). Research by the WHO (2026) explains that when people have control over their reproduction, they can fully participate in social, economic, and political spheres, which in turn buffers against mental health decline. This perspective challenges the "clinical gaze" that views patients as reproductive vessels rather than autonomous agents (Poehling, Downey, Singh, & Beasley, 2023).

Therefore, advocacy for a comprehensive care should be a political act that aligns clinical stability with social justice. Reproductive justice is an essential interdisciplinary solution to close the persistent health gap in vulnerable populations. Because reproductive justice depends upon the adoption of a human rights, social workers must ensure that policies address the needs of all people throughout their live. Thus, feminist practice shifts the focus from individual symptoms to the structural barriers that create inequality, making it an indispensable theory for holistic social work.

**Structural Functionalism: The Systemic Balance**

Structural functionalism views society as a complex system whose parts work together interdependently to promote solidarity and stability (Parsons, 2017). In the context of reproductive and mental health, this theory examines how healthcare institutions, family structures, and economic systems perform functions for the whole. When these systems fail to provide adequate support, society experiences dysfunctions that manifest as individual health crises. Sociological research emphasizes that healthcare systems, professionals, and patients must interact in ways that maintain social order (Annandale, 2025). Modern applications of structural functionalism investigate the role of medical institutions in maintaining this order. If the healthcare system fails to integrate reproductive and mental health, it creates a "strain" on the population, leading to increased morbidity and social costs (Nandal, 2025). Practitioners must recognize that healthcare institutions often prioritize

efficiency over individual needs and this tension contributes to the invisible burden, as the "sick role:" a set of expectations for patients can be undermined by fragmented care and systemic instability (Weiss, & Copelton, 2023). Functionalist analysis reveals that when institutional goals conflict with patient care, the result is ineffective service delivery.

Despite the long history of research connecting social structure to mental health, major findings regarding structural influence remain an area of active investigation (Breslau, 2026). Structural functionalism helps identify how societal norms and values influence health behaviors and the role of insurance and medical technology in shaping patient interactions (Cockerham, & Scambler, 2021). When healthcare systems do not provide the necessary support for reproductive health, this creates an imbalance in healthcare, and thus, affects not just the individual, but the family and the wider community. Improving health outcomes, therefore, requires structural adjustments to ensure that services function as a unified, cohesive mechanism for all citizens (Kentikelenis, 2017)

The framework also considers how the evolution of medical technology impacts the doctor-patient relationship. As biomedical technologies advance, the role of the social worker becomes vital in mediating between the rigid structure of the medical institution and the fluid, diverse needs of the patient (EBSCO, 2026). Structural functionalism provides the theoretical basis for arguing that health is a social good that requires institutional support to thrive. By ensuring that institutional roles are clearly defined and adequately supported, social workers can mitigate the dysfunctions that lead to reproductive and mental health inequities.

**Empowerment Theory: Transforming the Clinical Dynamic**

Empowerment theory focuses on the redistribution of power within the social worker-client relationship (Pease, 2002). This is a deviation from traditional models, which position the practitioner as the expert and the client as the recipient, mirroring the paternalistic imbalances found in broader healthcare systems (StatPearls, 2025). Empowerment theory deliberately disrupts this view and positions the client as the primary architect of their recovery. It is a multidimensional concept that enables patients to take an active role in their care, thereby improving outcomes and promoting health equity (Garcimartín Cerezo, Juvé-Udina, & Delgado-Hito, 2016).

In this context, clinical empowerment involves the validation of client's lived experience and support of the acquisition of skills to address institutional barriers (Gutierrez, 1990). This involves supporting the client to define their goals without external judgment in the realm of reproductive mental health. When clients face systemic barriers, social workers assist in identifying resources, fostering self-efficacy, and building coalitions for advocacy (Virginia Commonwealth University School of Social Work [VCU], 2026). Studies indicate that empowerment interventions consistently lead to positive effects on both patient-reported and clinical outcomes across diverse chronic conditions, marking a significant shift from hierarchical models (Shah & Goldin, 2025).

Empowerment also involves addressing "direct" and "indirect" power blocks. Indirect blocks, such as internalized oppression, develop as marginalized groups absorb negative societal messaging (VCU, 2026). The empowerment approach works to develop awareness, helping individuals build their power by cultivating the belief that they can change their circumstances (VCU, 2026). This process is developmental and ongoing, nurtured through therapeutic engagement, community connection, and active participation in one's recovery journey (Shah & Goldin, 2025). Furthermore, empowerment is seen as a "social action" process that promotes participation and political efficacy, which is critical for communities with histories of oppression (McGee, & Pettit, 2019).

To achieve this, social workers use a five-step problem-solving model, which are: problem identification, strengths definition, goals setting, interventions implementation, and successes evaluation (VCU, 2026). Because empowerment is a social construct, its success depends on the environmental context; therefore, workers must also engage in policy advocacy to create environments where empowerment is possible (Fawcett, Francisco, & Schultz, 2026). Empowerment, therefore, is not merely a supportive gesture; but a vital clinical intervention that restores dignity and promotes sustained recovery. Therefore, social workers should ensure that clients are the central focus of all reproductive and mental health care to promote client's autonomy and competence.

**Deconstructing Clinical Practice (The "Invisible" Made Visible)**

The clinical practice of health and wellness succumb to the phenomenon of tunnel-like vision, which informs a reductive approach. This approach isolates symptoms from the social, political, and historical systems that produce them. However, practitioners who focus solely on individual pathology fail to recognize the structural drivers of health that may cause further distress. When a clinician treats health, especially reproductive health; conditions like postpartum depression may be treated without proper assessment of structural reproductive coercion or socioeconomic precarity, that inadvertently pathologize a logical response to systemic oppression. This limited approach sustains the invisible burden, as it leaves the root cause of the patient's distress unaddressed and invisible (Gorman-Ezell & Stough-Hunter, 2025).

**The Dangers of Reductionist Assessment**

Medical models of health emphasize the identification and treatment of specific condition by its symptoms- a narrow focus that frequently discard the structural elements determining patient wellbeing. Reproductive coercion, which are behaviours that interfere with an individual's reproductive autonomy poses considerable health risks, yet still poorly identified in clinical settings (Saldanha, Botfield, LaGrappe, et al., 2025).

In Nigeria, these dynamics are deeply embedded in cultural expectations and patriarchal family structures. A study shows that decision-making power reglarding family size is rested with partners or extended family members, which create a climate of

reproductive pressure that directly impacts maternal mental health (Opara *et al*., 2024). Studies further reveal that socio-cultural and religious beliefs are dictators of reproductive health-seeking behaviours, where traditional structures can act as both barriers and potential sources of support (Afolabi, 2019a; Idowu, 2013; Opara, Iheanacho, & Petrucka, 2024). When clinicians ignore these cultural dynamics, they treat symptoms of trauma as if they are endogenous mental health issues. Clinicians who fail to screen for coercion miss a critical opportunity to intervene in cycles of abuse that transcend the individual clinical encounter (Airaoje, Uchendu, Akin-Odukoya, *et al.*, 2025; Femi-Lawal, Hughes, Babalola, *et al.*, 2026).

Furthermore, this tunnel vision distorts the therapeutic relationship between the patient and care system. Patients may perceive a disconnect when their social realities do not match the clinical focus. Thus, when a practitioner ignores the influence of institutional policies or economic barriers, the patient feels unheard and often times misunderstood. This failure to validate the social determinants of health erodes trust and diminishes the efficacy of the intervention. A recent study found that an integrated care requires an "extra-clinical" understanding of structure, where the practitioner acknowledges how policies, cultural norms, and labour markets contribute to health disparities (Stuart, Desai, Hart, & Norris, 2025). In Nigeria, the lack of an extensive mental health infrastructure means that reproductive health services are the only point of contact for women, but these services are largely unequipped to address the socio-economic determinants of health, such as out-of-pocket expenses and informal labour instability and other socio-cultural variables (Briggs-Megafu, 2025; Fasawe, Adekeye, Carmone, et al., 2020). Without this broad perspective, the social worker functions as a gatekeeper of a system that may itself unconsciously cause harm.

**Structural Reproductive Coercion and Mental Health**

Reproductive coercion acts as a major determinant of postpartum mental health. The presence of such coercion significantly increases the risk for anxiety, depression, and maternal identity disintegration (Saldanha, Botfield, LaGrappe, *et al*., 2025; Priyadharshini & Karthiga, 2026). In many Nigerian settings, the pressure for fertility is a primary and common driver of maternal anxiety, a phenomenon when women face intense social and familial stigmatization (Afolabi, 2022; Dada, 2019). However, clinicians who remain within the bounds of traditional symptom management are liable to label these responses as clinical disorders. This misdiagnosis risks unnecessary pharmacological intervention when the actual need involves safety planning, legal advocacy, or support from community-based social support systems (Afolabi, 2025a). Therefore, true clinical competence involves the ability to differentiate between endogenous clinical conditions and the psychosocial fallout of external control.

In addition, structural barriers to care, including limited parental leave and inadequate support for reproductive health, excalates symptoms of depression in the perinatal period (Nkemrinde & Kalenda, 2024). In Nigeria, the absence of labour protections for women in the informal sector creates a constant state of structural anxiety, as mothers balance economic survival with physical recovery (Briggs-Megafu, 2025). Hence, when social workers accept

these conditions as inevitable, they participate in the systemic maintenance of inequality. In many Nigerian settings, the pressure for fertility is a primary and common driver of maternal anxiety, a phenomenon when women face intense social and familial stigmatization (Airaoje, Uchendu, Akin-Odukoya, et al., 2025; Fleming, Shim, Yen et al., 2017).

**Reimagining the Clinical Gaze**

The transformation of clinical practice requires a shift toward structural humility. This practice encourages clinicians to recognize the limits of their knowledge and the necessity of understanding the power dynamics at play. Rather than acting as the sole authority, the social worker collaborates with the patient to unpack the structural causes of their distress (Gorman-Ezell & Stough-Hunter, 2025). This approach promotes a partnership that honours the patient and acknowledges environmental factors contributing to their health status. When this is applied in Nigeria, it means integrating traditional community support systems and faith-based institutions into clinical practice to further inform reproductive health (Afolabi, 2025b; Opara, Iheanacho, & Petrucka, 2024).

Therefore, effective intervention should ensure that practitioners act as advocates for systemic change, including collaborating with community organizations to address the determinants of reproductive health. By shifting from a purely individual focus to a community-informed perspective, social workers can identify and challenge the sources of reproductive coercion (Saldanha, Botfield, LaGrappe, *et al.*, 2025; Femi-Lawal, Hughes, Babalola, et al., 2026). Furthermore, this shift requires an ongoing commitment to professional development, as clinicians must continuously learn how to address the evolving political and economic systems that affect their clients. Integrated care thus becomes a collaborative effort that bridges the gap between individual clinical support and broad-based social advocacy. Thus, is supported by a study which found that the comparative analysis of empowerment programs across sub-Saharan Africa identified the success of community-led initiatives in the reduction of psychosocial tax of reproductive stigma (Kamau & Wambua, 2025; Wamoyi, Mshana, Mongi, Neke et al., 2014).

**The Mandate for Integrated Assessment**

The invisible burden continues because current healthcare infrastructure relies on clinicians who prioritize symptom relief over systemic critique. However, addressing this gap requires the adoption of formal structural assessment tools within the clinical intake process. These tools force the practitioner to document the environmental stressors such as economic instability, housing insecurity, and history of reproductive coercion that define the patient's health reality (Stuart, Desai, Hart, & Norris, 2025; Obadina, 2023). For women in Nigeria, this includes assessing for the impact of informal labour sectors and maternal education levels, which significantly correlate with the utilization of maternal health services (Afolabi, 2019b; Afolabi, Kayode, & Badayi, 2022; Briggs-Megafu, 2025). These studies provide a basis for more informed, holistic treatment planning for reproductive health.

Social workers, therefore, transform the therapeutic encounter, as they integrate structural assessment into their practice. The patient finds a space where their social reality is not just acknowledged but treated as central to their recovery. This practice empowers the patient to move beyond a passive role in their own care to a more holistic approach that promotes a sense of agency essential for long-term mental health (Hellwig, Wado, Faye, et al., 2026). Clinicians who commit to this model contribute to the larger goal of reproductive justice. By making the invisible visible, they dismantle the barriers that isolate individuals and ensure that care addresses the full spectrum of the human experience. Furthermore, when structural competency is applied in Nigerian obstetric care, it has shown that bridging the gap between social work and medical care significantly improves patient trust and adherence to healthy health practices (Fasawe, Adekeye, Carmone, et al., 2020).

**Case Synthesis**

The application of integrated theoretical frameworks transforms clinical practice. Ecological systems theory, feminist theory, structural functionalism, and empowerment theory gives a multi-dimensional approach to the case scenarios. These frameworks reveal the systemic nature of client distress and provide a roadmap for comprehensive intervention.

**Scenario 1: Unpacking Reproductive Coercion**

A patient presents with symptoms of generalized anxiety and postpartum depression. The biomedical approach of the condition focuses exclusively on hormonal stabilization and individual counseling. However, ecological systems theory informs a wider assessment that involves the individual and the environment and the interaction that exist between the two. This theory uncovers a history of reproductive coercion, where the spouse dictates contraceptive use and monitors reproductive choices to maintain dominance. On the other hand, feminist theory posits that this coercive environment strips the patient of bodily autonomy, transforming anxiety from an endogenous disorder into a rational psychological response to patriarchal violence. Structural functionalism reveals that societal norms regarding fertility create dysfunctions that increase this domestic strain. However, the practitioner abandons the reductionist model. Instead, apply empowerment theory to restore the patient's agency. Safety planning, legal advocacy, and connections to community-based resources, such as the support systems identified become important in the treatment plan. This shift validates that the distress originates from external control rather than internal pathology. The invisible burden dissipates as the patient regains the power to define her own reproductive future.

**Scenario 2: Navigating Socioeconomic Precarity**

A second scenario involves a client in the informal labour sector experiencing severe perinatal anxiety. A traditional clinical gaze suggests mindfulness training. Structural functionalism, however, exposes the systemic failure at play. The absence of maternity leave, the necessity of immediate return to labor postpartum, and the resulting fear of infant mortality

due to inadequate care create a societal "strain" that manifests as individual anxiety. Ecological systems theory maps these stressors, linking the lack of exosystemic support—specifically the lack of labor protections—directly to the patient's microsystemic crisis.

When the social worker acknowledges these structural pressures, the clinical strategy evolves. The intervention centers on advocacy for policy changes, identification of community social support systems, and linkage to organizations that provide financial assistance for informal sector workers. Empowerment theory ensures the client remains the architect of this advocacy process. Addressing these material realities transforms the clinical encounter from a site of individual pathologization into a space of collective recovery. This approach demonstrates that clinical efficacy remains intrinsically linked to the dismantling of structural barriers.

**Implications for Social Work Practice**

The integration of theoretical models into clinical practice mandates a significant shift in professional identity that moves the practitioner from a reactive model of symptom management to a proactive model of structural advocacy. The following implications define the necessary evolution of social work practice in the contemporary healthcare system.

**Adoption of Structural Assessment Tools:** Social workers must move beyond traditional diagnostic criteria during the intake process. An integrated approach requires the implementation of structural assessment tools that document environmental stressors such as economic instability, housing insecurity, and histories of reproductive coercion. This practice normalizes the exploration of external determinants, which helps identify the root causes of distress. Documentation of these factors provides a foundation for holistic treatment plans that address material realities rather than just internal psychological states.

**Implementation of Structural Humility**: Practitioners must cultivate structural humility as a core professional attribute. This disposition acknowledges the limits of individual expertise when confronted with systemic inequity. Rather than occupying the role of the sole authority, the social worker functions as a collaborator. This partnership honors the client's lived experience and acknowledges the power dynamics. Structural humility prevents the practitioner from pathologising a client's rational response to oppressive conditions.

**Integration of Community-Based Support Networks:** Clinical practice must extend its reach into the community. Social workers should actively identify and integrate these "social capital networks" into their intervention strategies. Practitioners can provide a more sustainable, culturally grounded buffer against reproductive anxiety and postpartum depression through leveraging existing community structures. This integration reinforces the empowerment of the client by utilizing her own cultural and social ecosystem.

**Advocacy as a Clinical Intervention:** Advocacy ceases to be an auxiliary activity and becomes a primary clinical intervention to address structural failures, such as the absence of

maternity leave for informal sector workers or out-of-pocket healthcare expenses; requires the social worker to engage in policy and systemic advocacy. Practitioners must collaborate with community organizations to challenge the institutional barriers that create health disparities. This dual role: acting as both healer and advocate ensures that the clinical encounter is a site of liberation rather than a gatekeeper of systemic inequality.

**Commitment to Ongoing Professional Development**: The evolving political and economic system demands that social workers maintain a deep understanding of structural change. Practitioners must continuously refine their ability to address complex systems, whether through updated training on reproductive rights, legal advocacy, or comparative analyses of empowerment models across Africa. This commitment to professional growth ensures that interventions remain relevant, equitable, and responsive to the unique challenges faced by the populations served. Sustained clinical efficacy depends upon this continuous alignment between professional practice and the pursuit of social justice.

## Conclusion

The current situation of the healthcare system in Nigeria requires a departure from the traditional biomedical model, which only focuses on the biological component of health but lacks the conceptual tools to address the complex social, political, and cultural realities that dictate health outcomes. However, an integrated social work paradigm bridges this divide in that it centers the patient not as a vessel for symptoms, but as an individual situated within an inequitable social fabric. This holistic approach demands that practitioners view reproductive and mental health as inseparable domains. The invisible burden, thus remains a product of systemic, not individual, failure. The transition to a social-justice-informed model is a commitment that has long being advocated for, necessary for a professional evolution. The adoption of this model emphasizes structural humility that allows professionals to recognize the limits of technical expertise in the face of systemic inequity. This means that practitioners must move beyond the role of clinical gatekeepers, as true wellness demands challenging the institutional mechanisms that allow reproductive coercion, socioeconomic inequalities and cultural stigma to flourish.

Therefore, social work in the twenty-first century requires the functionality of the social worker as both the healers and integration advocates and not just as an entity working independently. Responsibilities should extend beyond the therapy room to a rigorous labour of dismantling the barriers that isolate clients. Making the invisible visible provides more than treatment—it provides the conditions necessary for liberation and purposeful action. The path to sustained recovery begins when there is a general acceptance that clinical efficiency is intrinsically linked to a dedication to justice and fairness in treatment. Everyone must commit to this integrated journey, ensuring that every individual possesses the agency, support, and structural environment required to thrive in their reproductive and psychological lives.

**Suggestions:**
From the afore discussions the flowing were suggested;

1. Health practitioners should be made to attend trauma-informed, inter-professional training workshop.
2. Health practitioners should be trained on how to co-design patient-centered care pathways.
3. Digital supports model should be integrated into health care delivery.
4. Policy-level metrics for accountability should be established

**References**

Afolabi, A. (2019a). Knowledge and attitude as determinants of practice of family planning among married men in Aleshinloye Market, Ibadan. *Ibadan Journal of Educational Studies*, 16(2), 58–63.

Afolabi, A. (2019b). Relationship between education and utilization of maternal health care services by pregnant women in Ibadan North Local Government, Oyo State. *Nigerian Journal of Social Work Education*, 18, 110–122.

Afolabi, A. (2022). Social support as a determinant of psychological wellbeing of women with infertility in Ibadan, Oyo state. Benin *Journal of Social Work and Community Development*, 5(1), 41–50.

Afolabi, A. (2025a). Impact of community social support system on postpartum depression among newly delivered mothers in Ibadan. *International Journal of Arts and Social Sciences Education*, 10(1).

Afolabi, A. (2025b). Faith as correlate of social work treatment success among women with infertility in public hospitals in Ibadan. Ibadan *Journal of Educational Studies*, 21(2), 167–178.

Afolabi, A., Kayode, A. A., & Badayi, M. S. (2022). Impact of the level of maternal education on maternal and newborn health in Nigeria. *SSRN*, 2(4).

Airaoje, O. K., Uchendu, C. E., Akin-Odukoya, O. O., Aondover, E. M., & Obada, A. A. (2025). Gender-based violence as a public health crisis: Consequences for Nigerian women and society. *Britain International of Humanities and Social Sciences (BIoHS) Journal*, 7(1), 75–92.

Alzate, M. M. (2009). The role of sexual and reproductive rights in social work practice. *Affilia*, 24(2), 108–119. https://doi.org/10.1177/0886109909331695

Annandale, E. (2025). *The sociology of health and medicine: A critical introduction* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Bielawska-Batorowicz, E. (2023). Bio-psycho-social approach to reproductive mental health and reproductive decisions. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(1), 75. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13010075

Breslau J. (2026). Structural Influences on mental health and mental health care. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 34(3):172-179. doi: 10.1097/HRP.0000000000000463. Epub 2026 May 6. PMID: 42108944.

Briggs-Megafu, T. E. (2025). *Assessing the relationship between the informal sector, out-of-pocket healthcare expenses, and their associations with maternal and infant mortality in Nigeria* [Doctoral dissertation, The University of Texas at Dallas].

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). T*he ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.

Carastathis, A. (2014). The concept of intersectionality in feminist theory. *Philosophy Compass*, 9(5), 304-314.

Chaudhuri, S., & Morash, M. (2019). Building empowerment, resisting patriarchy: Understanding intervention against domestic violence among grassroots women in Gujarat, India. *Sociology of Development*, 5(4), 360-380.

Chrisler, J. C., & Sagrestano, L. M. (2020). *Reproductive justice, psychology, and human rights*. Cambridge University Press.

Cockerham, W. C., & Scambler, G. (2021). Medical sociology and sociological theory. In *The Wiley Blackwell companion to medical sociology* (pp. 22-44).

Couva, M., Talias, M. A., Christou, M., & Soteriades, E. S. (2024). Women's empowerment and health: A narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 21(12), Article 1614. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21121614 c563

Dada, A. (2019). *Factors affecting maternal health seeking behaviour in a Yoruba community of Nigeria: An analysis of socio-cultural beliefs and practices* [Doctoral dissertation, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Howard College].

Dickens, C., Suarez-Balcazar, Y., Allen-Meares, P., & Brazil, E. (2025). Using Ecological Systems Theory to enhance community health literacy. *HLRP: Health Literacy Research and Practice*, 9 (1), e29-e36.

Downey, M. M. (2018). Structural competency and reproductive health. *AMA Journal of Ethics,* 20(3), 211–223. https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/structural-competency-and-reproductive-health/2018-03

EBSCO Research Starters. (2022). *Ecological systems theory*. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/psychology/ecological-systems-theory

Fasawe, O., Adekeye, O., Carmone, A. E., Dahunsi, O., Kalaris, K., Storey, A., Ubani, O., & Wiwa, O. (2020). Applying a client-centered approach to maternal and neonatal networks of care: Case studies from urban and rural Nigeria. *Health Systems & Reform*, 6(2), e1841450.

Fawcett, S. B., Francisco, V. T., & Schultz, J. A. (2026). Policy practice and advocacy in social work. In *Social work methods and techniques* (p. 287).

Femi-Lawal, V. O., Hughes, T., Babalola, D., Anyinkeng, A. B.-S., Prince, H., Odusola, O. D., & Oludele, H. D. (2026). Characterizing the current state of gender-based violence among adolescent girls and young women in Nigeria: *A systematic review*. *IJS Global Health*, 9(1), e00601.

Fleming, M. D., Shim, J. K., Yen, I. H., Thompson-Lastad, A., Rubin, S., Van Natta, M., & Burke, N. J. (2017). Patient engagement at the margins: Health care providers' assessments of engagement and the structural determinants of health in the safety-net. *Social Science & Medicine*, 183, 11–18.

Garcimartín Cerezo, P., Juvé-Udina, M. E., & Delgado-Hito, P. (2016). Concepts and measures of patient empowerment: A comprehensive review. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP*, 50(4), 667-674.

Gentsch, A., & Kuehn, E. (2022). Clinical manifestations of body memories: The impact of past bodily experiences on mental health. *Brain Sciences*, 12(5), 594.

Gorman-Ezell, K., & Stough-Hunter, A. (2025). The need for adoption of a structural competency framework in social work education. *Health & Social Work*, 50(2), 153–156.

Gutierrez, L. M. (1990). Working with women of color: An empowerment perspective. *Social Work*, 35(2), 149–153.

Guy-Evans, O. (2020). Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory. *Simply Psychology*, 10518-046.

Hellwig, F., Wado, Y. D., Faye, C. M., Requejo, J., Alkema, L., Kananura, R. M., Boerma, T., & Barros, A. J. D. (2026). Women's empowerment and life stage: Assessing intersectional differences in contraceptive method mix in sub-Saharan Africa. *Contraception*, 111400.

Howard, L. M. (2025). Women's reproductive mental health: currently available evidence and future directions for research, clinical practice and health policy. *World Psychiatry* 24 (2), 196-215

Idowu, A. E. (2013). *The socio-cultural context of maternal health in Lagos State, Nigeria* [Doctoral dissertation, Covenant University].

Jacobson, J. L. (2018). Women's health: The price of poverty. In *The health of women* (pp. 3-32).

Kentikelenis, A. E. (2017). Structural adjustment and health: A conceptual framework and evidence on pathways. *Social Science & Medicine*, 187, 296-305.

Kulczycki, A. (2017). Reproductive health and justice in alleviating world suffering. In _Alleviating world suffering: *The challenge of negative quality of life* (pp. 267-287).

McGee, R., & Pettit, J. (2019). *Power, empowerment and social change*. Routledge.

Metzl, J. M., & Hansen, H. (2014). Structural competency: Theorizing a new medical engagement with stigma and inequality. *Social Science & Medicine*, 103, 126–133.

Morison, T. (2021). Reproductive justice: A radical framework for researching sexual and reproductive issues in psychology. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 15(6), e12605.

Nandal, V. (2025). The sociology of health, illness, and social determinants of well-being. *International Journal of Behavioral Social and Movement Sciences*, 14(01), 12-23.

Nkemrinde, A. B., & Kalenda, C. Z. (2024). The unseen frontline: Gendered burdens and resilience in rural Nigeria amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Emerging Medicine and Public Health*, 1(01), 55–61.

Nyinawagaba Beatrice, R. (2024). Reproductive rights as fundamental human rights: A feminist perspective on bodily autonomy and social justice. *Eurasian Experiment Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 9-14.

Obadina, I. (2023*). Rights-based approach to maternal health: Constitutionalizing protection of women's reproductive rights in Nigeria* [Doctoral dissertation, Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa].

Opara, U. C., Iheanacho, P. N., & Petrucka, P. (2024). Cultural and religious structures influencing the use of maternal health services in Nigeria: A focused ethnographic research. *Reproductive Health*, 21(1), 188.

Osher, D., Cantor, P., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2020). Drivers of human development: How relationships and context shape learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(1), 6-36.

Parsons, T. (2017). *The present status of "structural-functional" theory in sociology. The idea of social structure*, 67-84.

Pease, B. (2002). Rethinking empowerment: A postmodern reappraisal for emancipatory practice. *British Journal of Social Work*, 32(2), 135-147.

Poehling, C., Downey, M. M., Singh, M. I., & Beasley, C. C. (2023). From gaslighting to enlightening: Reproductive justice as an interdisciplinary solution to close the health gap. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 59(4), 1073–1085.

Priyadharshini, J., & Karthiga, R. K. J. (2026). "Imposed motherhood, interrupted childhood": Child marriage, postpartum trauma, and the failure of SDG commitments in Chennai's inner city. *Frontiers in Global Women's Health*, 7, 1710482.

Rogers, J. L., Crockett, J. E., & Suess, E. (2019). Miscarriage: An ecological examination. *The Professional Counselor*, 9(1), 51–66.

zjRoss, L. J., & Solinger, R. (2017). *Reproductive justice: An introduction*. University of California Press.

Saldanha, S., Botfield, J. R., LaGrappe, D., Moradi, M., & Mazza, D. (2025). Reproductive coercion and associated health consequences: *A scoping review. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 15248380251383931.

Shah SJ, Goldin J. (2025). Empowerment. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2026 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430929/ on 30th June, 2026.

StatPearls. (2025). *Empowerment. National Center for Biotechnology Information* (NCBI) Bookshelf. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430929/ on 24th June, 2026.

Stuart, L., Desai, R., Hart, C., & Norris, S. A. (2025). Advocating for an integrated healthcare model for women of reproductive age in low-and middle-income countries. *Critical Public Health*, 35(1), 2512842.

Tudge, J. R. H., Merçon-Vargas, E. A., & Payir, A. (2022). Urie Bronfenbrenner's bioecological theory: Its development, core concepts, and critical issues. In K. Adamsons, A. L. Few-Demo, C. Proulx, & K. Roy (Eds.), *Sourcebook of family theories and methodologies: A dynamic approach* (pp. 235–254). Springer.

VCU Online. (2026). Empowerment theory in social work. Virginia Commonwealth University School of Social Work. https://onlinesocialwork.vcu.edu/blog/empowerment-theory-in-social-work/

Wamoyi, J., Mshana, G., Mongi, A., Neke, N., Kapiga, S., & Changalucha, J. (2014). A review of interventions addressing structural drivers of adolescents' sexual and reproductive health vulnerability in sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for sexual health. *Reproductive Health*, 11(1), 88.

Weiss, G., & Copelton, D. (2023). *The sociology of health, healing, and illness* (10th ed.). Routledge.

White, A. C., & Zarling, A. (2026). Masculinity development in the Manosphere: An ecological systems perspective. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2026). *Sexual and reproductive health and rights. WHO Health Topics*. Available at: https://www.who.int/health-topics/sexual-and-reproductive-health-and-rights, on 29th June, 2026.